

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/829,015	04/09/2001	Salim G. Kara	061135/P000C2CP1C1/101032 9558			
29053	7590 04/23/2003					
DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			EXAMINER			
			COSIMANO, EDWARD R			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3629			
ı			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
•		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/829,015		KARA, SALIM G.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	10			
		Edward R. Co		3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutory will apply and will exe, cause the applicati	however, may a reply be t y minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ion to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this corn ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 /	April 2001 .			•			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	n-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under				merits is			
· _	ion of Claims	_						
4)[Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
دار_	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdraw	n from conside	eration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	urement.					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
_	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2001</u> is/are: a)		h) \ objected to by	the Evaminer				
. 4/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•	•					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	• •				
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,		-, (-, -, (-,				
•	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	eceived.		·.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	rity documents reau (PCT Ru	s have been receivile 17.2(a)).	ved in this National S	tage			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		-		P (2.)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		/		application).			
	i) \prod The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachmer								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO-				

100

Art Unit: 3629

- 1. Applicant should note the changes to patent practice and procedure:
 - A) effective December 01, 1997 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 62, No. 197, Friday October 10, 1997; and
 - B) effective November 07, 2000 as published in the <u>Federal Register</u>, Vol 65, No. 54603, September 08, 2000.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because
 - A) the following errors have been noted in the drawings:
 - (1) The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR § 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
 - (a) 901 in fig. 9 as disclosed in the paragraphs at page 40, lines 1-18, "Alternatively, the postage indicia ... window 901, in ... [new paragraph] ... window 901 in ... (such as inclement weather).".
 - (b) 1401A in fig. 14 as disclosed in the paragraph at page 63, lines 6-15, "In step 1403, the user ... is entered into the mail system of the shipping service provider in step 1401A.", (note the objection below).
 - (2) The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR § 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:
 - (a) 308 in fig. 3A in the paragraph at page 25, lines 13-25, "Referring to FIGURE 3A, there ... identified location for the user to sign. A ... PDF 417 code discussed in more detail below.".
 - (b) 1404A in fig. 14 in the paragraph at page 63, lines 6-15, "In step 1403, the user ... is entered into the mail system of the shipping service provider in step 1401A.", (note the objection below).
 - (3) fig. 8 doses not depict the traffic light icon that is discloses in the paragraph at page 40, lines 3-9, "If the check of Step 4 is ... the traffic light

Art Unit: 3629

icon which will also display "green" ... the traffic light displays "red" to indicate that a valid postage dispensing device was not detected.".

- 2.1 A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - A) applicant must update:
 - (1) the continuing data on page 1, and
 - (2) the application data on page(s) 1, 2, 29 (first full paragraph), 30 (second full paragraph), and 66 (second full paragraph);

with the current status of each of the referenced applications, e.g., --now abandoned--, or --now patent #?--, or --which is abandoned and now serial number #?--, etc.

- B) as required by 37 CFR § 1.84(p(5)) and 37 CFR § 1.121(e) the specification lacks an explicit reference to the nature of:
 - (1) reference legend(s):
 - (a) 308 of fig. 3A in the paragraph at page 25, lines 13-25, "Referring to FIGURE 3A, there ... identified location for the user to sign. A ... PDF 417 code discussed in more detail below.";
 - (b) 1301 of fig. 13 in the paragraph at page 58, lines 1-5, "In step 1066, the ... routine. The internal layout of data in RAM for an agent (or mater) button is depicted in FIGURE 13."; and
 - (c) 1404A of fig. 14 in the paragraph at page 63, lines 6-15, "In step 1403, the user ... is entered into the mail system of the shipping service provider in step 1401A.", (note the objection below); and (2) how the program proceeds after box(es):

Page 3

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/829,015

Art Unit: 3629

- (a) 1020 of fig. 10B if the inquiry is "YES" in the paragraph at page 50, lines 3-13, "Assuming that the button was ... or old, damaged button repair operations.";
- (b) 1031 of fig. 10C if the inquiry is "NO" in the paragraph between page 50, line 14, and page 51, line 9, "The credit refill operation ... from the one described here below in FIGURE 6."; and
- (c) 1034 of fig. 10C if the inquiry is "YES" in either of the paragraphs
 - (1) between page 50, line 14, and page 51, line 9, "The credit refill operation ... from the one described here below in FIGURE 6."; or
 - (2) at page 54, lines 10-13, "In step 1036 ... network. Box 1037 ends the routine.".

In this regard, it is noted that merely mentioning a number with out mentioning the device or operation of the step relies on the drawing to provide support for the disclosure and not to aid in the understanding of the invention, as is the purpose of the drawings (37 CFR § 1.81(a,b)).

- C) the following errors have been noted in the specification:
 - (1) as can be seen in fig. 1A and from the context of the paragraphs:
 - (a) page 21, lines 8-16, "Referring to FIGURE 1A, there ... storage device 18, ... 11. Storage device 18 is ... a postage storage device receptor 17.";
 - (b) between page 24, line 25, and page 26, line 8, "After verifying the information ... coupled to printer 19 is ... TMU refilling stations or other authorized credit server."; and
 - (c) between page 30, line 25, and page 31, line 5, "With login successful ... button 19 into holder 17 ... prompted to retry the agent login operation.";

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/829,015

Art Unit: 3629

reference number 19 has been used twice to designate a printer and a button, therefore to be consistent with the remainder of the disclosure at line 3 of the paragraph between page 30, line 25, and page 31, line 5, "With login successful ... button 19 into holder 17 ... prompted to retry the agent login operation.", "19" should be --18--. Note the remainder of the disclosure, which consistently references device/button 18 and printer/maker 19.

(2) as can be seen in fig. 14 and from the context of the paragraph at page 63, lines 6-15, "In step 1403, the user ... is entered into the mail system of the shipping service provider in step 1401A.", at line 10 of this paragraph "1401A" should be -1404A--.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 4. The specification and drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification or drawings. Applicant should note the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.74, § 1.75, § 1.84(o,p(5)), § 1.121(a)-1.121(f) & § 1.121(h)-1.121(i).
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-47 of U. S. Patent No. 6,233,568 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.
- 5.1 The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:
 - A) determining transaction parameters;
 - B) using the determined parameters to rate an item to be shipped by a carrier for a plurality of carriers;
 - C) providing the rating results for comparison; and
 - D) based on the comparison picking a carrier.
- 5.2 The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/829,015

Art Unit: 3629

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 5.3 A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 5.4 Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.
- 6.1 Claims 1-7 & 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Piccione (4,495,581) or Barns-Slavin et al (5,117,346) or Theil (EP 0805422 or 5,699,258 or 6,035,291 or 6,321,214) in view of Berson et al (6,039,257).

Art Unit: 3629

6.1.1 In regard to claims 1-7 & 9-12, either Piccione ('581) or Barns-Slavin et al ('346) or Theil ('422 or '258 or '291 or '214), which disclose determining the lowest cost carrier for an item to be shipped based on user entered shipping transaction parameters.

- 6.1.2 Although these systems do not disclose printing an authorized indicia for the selected carrier in the environment of postage metering Berson et al ('257), which discloses using accounting information to generate a multidimensional barcode for the validation of a postage indicia. Such an indicia provided acceptable proof to the carries that the correct shipping charge has been paid by the user. Since, the carrier wants to be paid for services rendered to the user, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made that the shipping systems of either Piccione ('581) or Barns-Slavin et al ('346) or Theil ('422 or '258 or '291 or '214) could be modified to print an authorization indicia as taught by Berson et al ('257).
- 7. The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance over the prior art:
 - A) the prior art, for example:
 - (1) either Piccione (4,495,581) or Barns-Slavin et al (5,117,346) or Thiel (EP 0805422 or 5,699,258 or 6,035,291 or 6,321,214), which disclose determining the lowest cost carrier for an item to be shipped based on user entered shipping transaction parameters.
 - (2) Berson et al (6,039,257), which discloses using accounting information to generate a multidimensional barcode for the validation of a postage indicia.
 - B) however, in regard to claim 8, the prior art does not each or suggest that the shipping system may perform other functions, such as a word processor, database, spreadsheet or accounting.
- 8. The examiner has cited prior art of interest, for example:
 - A) Marien, which discloses that it is desirable to use the lowest cost carrier, and hence the cost for a number of carriers must be determined.
 - B) Freytag (5,490,077 or 5,602,743), which disclose using portable chip cards to hold postage accounting information or an user.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3629

C) either Ramsden et al (5,656,799 or 5,831,220), which disclose a self contained shipping system that will determine the required shipping cost associated with a carrier that has been user selected from a number of carriers.

Page 8

- 9. Prior art cited but not supplied herewith, has been supplied to applicant during the prosecution of the parent application.
- 10. The prior art cited in the parent applications has been considered by the examiner. Applicant wishes the remaining uncited prior art to appear on any patent that may mature from the instant application, then applicant should submit a properly completed PTO-1449 citing that prior art.
- 11. The shorten statutory period of response is set to expire 3 (three) months from the mailing date of this Office action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Cosimano whose telephone number is (703) 305-9783. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached on (703)-308-2702. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.
- 12.1 The fax phone number for UNOFFICIAL/DRAFT FAXES is (703) 746-7240.
- 12.2 The fax phone number for **OFFICIAL FAXES** is (703) 305-7687.
- 12.3 The fax phone number for AFTER FINAL FAXES is (703) 308-3691.

04/19/03

Edward R. Cosimano

Primary Examiner A.U. 3629